	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/448,124	SINDHU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kwang B. Yao	2667
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Kwang B. Yao</u> .	(3)	
(2) Richard Irving.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None	·	
Claims discussed: 3-6, 8-24, 27, 28, 30-33, 35-39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: A telephone call was made to Richard Irving on 10/5/04 to request an oral election to the restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
and not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summal	y by the examiner appears in i	- מונוו מטטעפ.
2200//		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)